

# Evaluation Sheet for 2017 Subsidy

Subsidy							Responsible department/personnel in charge	
Underlying Laws & Regulations								
Overview of Projects							Expected end of the project	
Needs/ Appropriateness	Needs of citizens							
	Possible alternatives (Needs for which a city should take action)							
Effectiveness/ Eligibility	Index Against Means (Activity Index)	Index					Unit	
		Final target	Target year	Standard year (2016)	2017	2018	2019	
				Target				
				Result				
			2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
		Target						
		Result						
		Reasons why the index was selected, and selected for the target						
	Index Against the Purpose (Outcome Index)	Index					Unit	
		Final target	Target year	Standard year (2016)	2017	2018	2019	
				Target				
				Result				
			2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
		Target						
		Result						
Reasons why the index was selected, and selected for the target								
Finance [Recorded Amounts] (Unit: thousand yen)		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
		2023	2024	2025	[Trend of budget]			
Relevance to the Measure								

<b>1 Needs/Appropriateness</b>	
--------------------------------	--

Reasons why the city should undertake actions		Purposes align with policy positions	
Purposes and nature are consistent with socioeconomic circumstances		Contribute to the increase of benefits of many and unspecified residents	
Consistent with citizens' needs and identified as public needs		Effects will ripple to an appropriate range of residents, and are not limited to specific individuals' benefits	
Contributes to the realisation of the goals of measures taken by the city			

<b>Rationale for the conclusion</b>
-------------------------------------

<b>2 Effectiveness/Eligibility</b>	
------------------------------------	--

Basis for calculation of expenses and subsidies is clarified.		The impartiality of opportunities that the subsidy is given or burden is ensured.	
The share borne by the city is appropriate		The activities performed by the organisation that the subsidy is given to are consistent with the purposes.	
If the subsidy is given to a voluntary organisation, it is designed to promote independence.		Effects such as outcomes that match the purpose and amount of subsidy are expected .	
The purpose of subsidy is already achieved.		Goals and anticipated effects are clear.	
The scope that the organisation bears with its own fund and the one that the city gives subsidy to are clearly divided.		Specific goal/index to measure effects is clear.	


<b>Rationale for the conclusion</b>
-------------------------------------

<b>3 Efficiency/Economy</b>	
-----------------------------	--

There are similar projects subject to subsidies.		Knock-on effects are expected for local communities and citizens.	
Procedures are excessively complicated.		Substantial impartiality is considered if mitigation of the economic burden of individuals is pursued.	
The share borne by the city is appropriate for the purpose.			

<b>Rationale for the Conclusion</b>
-------------------------------------

[Result]



<b>Comments at Interim and Final Year</b>	
---	--

<b>Future Direction</b>	
-------------------------	--