

地方公共団体における行政評価の 取組状況

(平成 18 年 1 月 1 日現在)

Implementation of Administration Assessment by Local Public
Bodies (As of January 1, 2006)

総 務 省

Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications

地方公共団体における行政評価の取組状況

(平成18年1月1日現在)

Implementation of Administration Assessment by Local Public Bodies

(As of January 1, 2006)

目 次

Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| 1. 行政評価の導入状況 | 281 |
| Introduction of administration assessment | |
| 2. 行政評価の実施根拠 | 287 |
| Grounds for administration assessment | |
| 3. 行政評価の対象 | 288 |
| Objects of administration assessment | |
| 4. 評価結果（総括表）の公表状況 | 290 |
| Announcement of the results of assessment (in the form of summary charts) | |
| 5. 行政評価導入済の都道府県、政令指定都市、中核市及び特例市における状況 | 291 |
| Prefectures, ordinance-designated cities, core cities, and special case cities which have already introduced administration assessment | |
| (1) 個別事業（または施策、政策）の評価結果の公表状況 | 291 |
| Announcement of the results of assessment for all or a part of individual administrative work / projects (individual measures, or individual policies) | |
| (2) 外部有識者を入れた第三者機関の設置状況 | 292 |
| Establishment of third-party organizations which have experts from outside the local public body as members | |
| (3) 住民からの意見を評価に反映する仕組み | 292 |
| System to ensure that the opinions of local residents are reflected in assessment | |
| (4) 評価結果の議会への報告状況 | 293 |
| Report on the results of assessment to the assembly | |
| (5) 評価結果の活用方法 | 294 |
| Utilization of the results of assessment | |
| (6) 行政評価制度と既存計画等との連携 | 297 |
| Linkage between the administration assessment system and administrative plans etc. which have already been formulated | |

地方公共団体における行政評価の取組状況（平成 18 年 1 月 1 日現在）

Implementation of administration assessment by local public bodies (As of January 1st, 2006)

1. 行政評価の導入状況

Introduction of administration assessment

| | 都道府県 Prefectures | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---|
| | 今回調査 Latest survey | | 平成 16 年 7 月末現在 As of end July, 2004 | | 対昨年度比 Percentage of change from the previous survey |
| | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | |
| 既に導入済み Already introduced | 46 | 97.9 | 46 | 97.9 | ±0 |
| 試行中 Under trial | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | ±0 |
| 検討中 Under consideration | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | ±0 |
| 該当なし Others | 1 | 2.1 | 1 | 2.1 | ±0 |
| 合計 Total | 47 | | 47 | | |

| | 政令指定都市 Ordinance-designated cities | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|
| | 今回調査 Latest survey | | 平成 16 年 7 月末現在 As of the end of July, 2004 | | 対昨年度比 Percentage of change from the previous survey |
| | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | |
| 既に導入済み Already introduced | 14 | 100.0 | 13 | 100.0 | 1 |
| 試行中 Under trial | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | ±0 |
| 検討中 Under consideration | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | ±0 |
| 該当なし Others | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | ±0 |
| 合計 Total | 14 | | 14 | | |

| | 中核市 Core cities | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---|
| | 今回調査 Latest survey | | 平成 16 年 7 月末現在 As of end July, 2004 | | 対昨年度比 Percentage of change from the previous survey |
| | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | |
| 既に導入済み Already introduced | 32 | 86.5 | 32 | 91.4 | ±0 |
| 試行中 Under trial | 1 | 2.7 | 1 | 2.9 | ±0 |
| 検討中 Under consideration | 4 | 10.8 | 2 | 5.7 | 2 |
| 該当なし Others | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | ±0 |
| 合計 Total | 37 | | 35 | | |


| | 特例市 Special case cities | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---|
| | 今回調査 Latest survey | | 平成 16 年 7 月末現在 As of end July, 2004 | | 対昨年度比 Percentage of change from the previous survey |
| | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | |
| 既に導入済み Already introduced | 35 | 89.7 | 33 | 82.5 | 2 |
| 試行中 Under trial | 3 | 7.7 | 5 | 12.5 | △ 2 |
| 検討中 Under consideration | 1 | 2.6 | 2 | 5.0 | △ 1 |
| 該当なし Others | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | ±0 |
| 合計 Total | 39 | | 40 | | |

| | 市区 Cities and special wards | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| | 今回調査 Latest survey | | | | | | 平成 16 年 7 月末現在 As of end July, 2004 | | 対昨年度比 Percentage of change from the previous survey |
| | 団体数 Number | 構成比(%) Component percentage | うち新設合併市以外の市区 Cities and special wards other than those in the right-hand column | | うち新設合併市 Cities newly created by a merger | | 団体数 Number | 構成比(%) Component percentage | |
| | | | 団体数 Number | 構成比(%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比(%) Component percentage | | | |
| 既に導入済み Already introduced | 311 | 44.8 | 288 | 41.5 | 23 | 3.3 | 289 | 45.9 | 22 |
| 試行中 Under trial | 101 | 14.5 | 82 | 11.8 | 19 | 2.7 | 117 | 18.6 | △ 16 |
| 検討中 Under consideration | 267 | 38.5 | 118 | 17.0 | 149 | 21.5 | 194 | 30.8 | 73 |
| 該当なし Others | 15 | 2.2 | 6 | 0.9 | 9 | 1.3 | 30 | 4.7 | △ 15 |
| 合計 Total | 694 | | 494 | | 200 | | 630 | | |


| | 町村 Towns and villages | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| | 今回調査 Latest survey | | | | | | 平成 16 年 7 月末現在 As of end July, 2004 | | 対昨年度比 Percentage of change from the previous survey |
| | 団体数 Number | 構成比(%) Component percentage | うち新設合併町村以外の町村 Towns and villages other than those in the right-hand column | | うち新設合併町村 Towns and villages newly created by a merger | | 団体数 Number | 構成比(%) Component percentage | |
| | | | 団体数 Number | 構成比(%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比(%) Component percentage | | | |
| 既に導入済み Already introduced | 161 | 12.5 | 159 | 12.3 | 2 | 0.2 | 160 | 6.7 | 1 |
| 試行中 Under trial | 78 | 6.0 | 76 | 5.9 | 2 | 0.2 | 95 | 3.9 | △ 15 |
| 検討中 Under consideration | 805 | 62.4 | 719 | 55.7 | 86 | 6.6 | 1,097 | 45.6 | △ 473 |
| 該当なし Others | 247 | 19.1 | 227 | 17.6 | 20 | 1.5 | 1,052 | 43.8 | △ 805 |
| 合計 Total | 1,291 | | 1,181 | | 110 | | 2,404 | | |

※ 「新設合併市」及び「新設合併町村」は、前回調査時の翌日（平成 16 年 8 月 1 日）から今回調査時点（平成 18 年 1 月 1 日）までに合併した団体。


※ “Cities newly created by a merger” and “towns and villages newly created by a merger” denote cities, towns and villages whose mergers took effect between the day following the former survey date (August 1st, 2004) and the latest survey date (January 1st, 2006).



【都道府県】 Prefectures




【政令指定都市】 Ordinance-designated cities



【中核市】 Core cities




【特例市】 Special case cities




○今回調査の市区及び町村のグラフの見方


How to read the charts for the latest survey in the following two categories (cities and special wards, towns and villages)



【市区】 Cities and wards



【町村】 Towns and villages



2. 行政評価の実施根拠

Grounds for administration assessment

| | 都道府県 | 政令指定都市 | | 中核市 | | 特例市 | | |
|-------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|
| | Prefectures | Ordinance-designated cities | | Core cities | | Special case cities | | |
| | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage |
| 条例 Bylaws | 4 | 8.7 | 2 | 14.3 | 2 | 6.1 | 3 | 7.9 |
| 規則 Regulations | 2 | 4.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 要綱 Guidelines | 32 | 69.6 | 6 | 42.9 | 10 | 30.3 | 10 | 26.3 |
| その他 Others | 13 | 28.3 | 8 | 57.1 | 23 | 69.7 | 26 | 68.4 |

| | 市区 | | 町村 | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Other cities and special wards | | Towns and villages | |
| | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage |
| 条例 Bylaws | 8 | 1.9 | 7 | 2.9 |
| 規則 Regulations | 8 | 1.9 | 9 | 3.8 |
| 要綱 Guidelines | 127 | 30.8 | 86 | 36.0 |
| その他 Others | 278 | 67.5 | 144 | 60.3 |

※ 構成比は、行政評価を導入している団体及び試行している団体に占める割合である（複数回答あり）。（都道府県については 46 団体中、政令指定都市については 14 団体中、中核市については 33 団体中、特例市については 38 団体中、市区については 412 団体中、町村については 239 団体中）

※The component percentage is calculated as a ratio to the total number of local public bodies in each category which have already introduced administration assessment or for which administration assessment is under trial (multiple answers allowed). (The total number is 46 for prefectures, 14 for ordinance-designated cities, 33 for core cities, 38 for special case cities, 412 for other cities and special wards, and 239 for towns and villages.)

3. 行政評価の対象

Objects of administration assessment

| | 都道府県 Prefectures | | 政令指定都市 Ordinance-designated cities | | 中核市 Core cities | | 特例市 Special case cities | |
|--|---------------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage |
| 政策 Policies | 17 | 37.0 | 4 | 28.6 | 6 | 18.2 | 6 | 15.8 |
| 施策 Administrative measures | 40 | 87.0 | 10 | 71.4 | 12 | 36.4 | 17 | 44.7 |
| 事務事業 Administrative work/projects | 46 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 30 | 90.9 | 31 | 81.6 |
| 事務事業のすべて All administrative work/projects | 22 | 47.8 | 10 | 71.4 | 13 | 39.4 | 19 | 50.0 |
| 公営企業会計を含む Including public enterprises accounts | 14 | 30.4 | 9 | 64.3 | 11 | 33.3 | 12 | 31.6 |
| 事務事業の一部 A part of administrative work/projects | 24 | 52.2 | 4 | 28.6 | 17 | 51.5 | 12 | 31.6 |
| 公営企業会計を含む Including public enterprises accounts | 10 | 21.7 | 4 | 28.6 | 12 | 36.4 | 10 | 26.3 |

| | 市区 Other cities and special wards | | 町村 Towns and villages | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage |
| 政策 Policies | 65 | 15.8 | 18 | 7.5 |
| 施策 Administrative measures | 143 | 34.7 | 49 | 20.5 |
| 事務事業 Administrative work/projects | 400 | 97.1 | 234 | 97.9 |
| 事務事業のすべて All administrative work/projects | 177 | 43.0 | 125 | 52.3 |
| 公営企業会計を含む Including public enterprises accounts | 112 | 27.2 | 82 | 34.3 |
| 事務事業の一部 A part of administrative work/projects | 223 | 54.1 | 109 | 45.6 |
| 公営企業会計を含む Including public enterprises accounts | 132 | 32.0 | 55 | 23.0 |

※ 構成比は、行政評価を導入している団体及び試行している団体に占める割合である（複数回答あり、予定を含む）。（都道府県については46団体中、政令指定都市については14団体中、中核市については33団体中、特例市については38団体中、市区については412団体中、町村については239団体中）

※The component percentage is calculated as a ratio to the total number of local public bodies in each category which have already introduced administration assessment or for which administration assessment is under trial (multiple answers allowed; the numbers include local public bodies which intend to expand the objects of assessment). (The total number is 46 for prefectures, 14 for ordinance-designated cities, 33 for core cities, 38 for special case cities, 412 for other cities and special wards, and 239 for towns and villages.)

4. 評価結果（総括表）の公表状況

Announcement of the results of assessment (in the form of summary charts)

| | 都道府県 Prefectures | | 政令指定都市 Ordinance-designated cities | | 中核市 Core cities | | 特例市 Special case cities | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage |
| 公表 Officially announced | 46 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 29 | 87.9 | 30 | 79.0 |
| すべて公表 All announced | 44 | 95.6 | 13 | 92.9 | 23 | 69.7 | 24 | 63.2 |
| 一部公表 Partially announced | 2 | 4.4 | 1 | 7.1 | 6 | 18.2 | 6 | 15.8 |
| 公表していない Not announced | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 12.1 | 8 | 21.0 |

| | 市区 Other cities and special wards | | 町村 Towns and villages | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage |
| 公表 Officially announced | 245 | 59.5 | 98 | 41.0 |
| すべて公表 All announced | 181 | 43.9 | 63 | 26.4 |
| 一部公表 Partially announced | 64 | 15.6 | 35 | 14.6 |
| 公表していない Not announced | 167 | 40.5 | 141 | 59.0 |

※ 構成比は、行政評価を導入している団体及び試行している団体に占める割合である（予定を含む）。（都道府県については 46 団体中、政令指定都市については 14 団体中、中核市については 33 団体中、特例市については 38 団体中、市区については 412 団体中、町村については 239 団体中）

※The component percentage is calculated as a ratio to the total number of local public bodies in each category which have already introduced administration assessment or for which administration assessment is under trial (the numbers include local public bodies which intend to announce the results). (The total number is 46 for prefectures, 14 for ordinance-designated cities, 33 for core cities, 38 for special case cities, 412 for other cities and special wards, and 239 for towns and villages.)

5. 行政評価導入済の都道府県、政令指定都市、中核市及び特例市における状況

Prefectures, ordinance-designated cities, core cities, and special case cities which have already introduced administration assessment

- 行政評価を導入している都道府県、政令指定都市、中核市及び特例市について具体的な状況を調査

Detailed survey of prefectures, ordinance-designated cities, core cities, and special case cities which have already introduced administration assessment

(1) 個別事業（または施策、政策）の評価結果の公表状況

Announcement of the results of assessment for all or a part of individual administrative work / projects (individual measures, or individual policies)

| | 都道府県 Prefectures | | 政令指定都市 Ordinance-designated cities | | 中核市 Core cities | | 特例市 Special case cities | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage |
| 公表 Officially announced | 46 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 29 | 87.9 | 31 | 81.6 |
| すべて公表 All announced | 44 | 95.6 | 12 | 85.7 | 20 | 60.6 | 23 | 60.5 |
| 一部公表 Partially announced | 2 | 4.4 | 2 | 14.3 | 9 | 27.3 | 8 | 21.1 |
| 公表していない Not announced | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 12.1 | 7 | 18.4 |

※ 構成比は、行政評価を導入、もしくは試行している都道府県、政令指定都市、中核市及び特例市に占める割合である（予定を含む）。

（都道府県については46団体中、政令指定都市については14団体中、中核市については33団体中、特例市については38団体中）

※The component percentage is calculated as a ratio to the total number of prefectures, ordinance-designated cities, core cities, and special case cities, respectively, which have already introduced administration assessment or for which administration assessment is under trial (the numbers include local public bodies which intend to announce the results). (The total number is 46 for prefectures, 14 for ordinance-designated cities, 33 for core cities, and 38 for special case cities.)

(2) 外部有識者を入れた第三者機関の設置状況

Establishment of third-party organizations which have experts from outside the local public body as members

| | 都道府県 Prefectures | | 政令指定都市 Ordinance-designated cities | | 中核市 Core cities | | 特例市 Special case cities | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage |
| 設置している Established | 22 | 47.8 | 7 | 50.0 | 12 | 36.4 | 12 | 31.6 |
| 設置していない Not established | 24 | 52.2 | 7 | 50.0 | 21 | 63.6 | 26 | 68.4 |

※ 構成比は、行政評価を導入、もしくは試行している都道府県、政令指定都市、中核市及び特例市に占める割合である（予定を含む）。

（都道府県については46団体中、政令指定都市については14団体中、中核市については33団体中、特例市については38団体中）

※The component percentage is calculated as a ratio to the total number of prefectures, ordinance-designated cities, core cities, and special case cities, respectively, which have already introduced administration assessment or for which administration assessment is under trial (the numbers include local public bodies which intend to establish a third-party organization). (The total number is 46 for prefectures, 14 for ordinance-designated cities, 33 for core cities, and 38 for special case cities.)

(3) 住民からの意見を評価に反映する仕組み

System to ensure that the opinions of local residents are reflected in assessment

| | 都道府県 Prefectures | | 政令指定都市 Ordinance-designated cities | | 中核市 Core cities | | 特例市 Special case cities | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage |
| 導入している Introduced | 27 | 58.7 | 9 | 64.3 | 11 | 33.3 | 8 | 21.1 |
| 導入していない Not introduced | 19 | 41.3 | 5 | 35.7 | 22 | 66.7 | 30 | 78.9 |

※ 構成比は、行政評価を導入、もしくは試行している都道府県、政令指定都市、中核市及び特例市に占める割合である（予定を含む）。

（都道府県については46団体中、政令指定都市については14団体中、中核市については33団体中、特例市については38団体中）

※The component percentage is calculated as a ratio to the total number of prefectures, ordinance-designated cities, core cities, and special case cities, respectively, which have already introduced administration assessment or for which administration assessment is under trial (the numbers include local public bodies which intend to introduce such a system). (The total number is 46 for prefectures, 14 for ordinance-designated cities, 33 for core cities, and 38 for special case cities.)

(4) 評価結果の議会への報告状況

Report on the results of assessment to the assembly

| | 都道府県 Prefectures | | 政令指定都市 Ordinance-designated cities | | 中核市 Core cities | | 特例市 Special case cities | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage |
| 議会で報告・説明 Reported or explained in the assembly | 21 | 45.6 | 2 | 14.3 | 9 | 27.3 | 10 | 26.3 |
| 資料として配布 Distributed as reference material | 12 | 26.1 | 5 | 35.7 | 13 | 39.4 | 10 | 26.3 |
| 特別な報告はしていない Not specially reported | 13 | 28.3 | 7 | 50.0 | 11 | 33.3 | 18 | 47.4 |

※ 構成比は、行政評価を導入、もしくは試行している都道府県、政令指定都市、中核市及び特例市に占める割合である（予定を含む）。

（都道府県については46団体中、政令指定都市については14団体中、中核市については33団体中、特例市については38団体中）

※The component percentage is calculated as a ratio to the total number of prefectures, ordinance-designated cities, core cities, and special case cities, respectively, which have already introduced administration assessment or for which administration assessment is under trial (the numbers include local public bodies which intend to report the results to the assembly). (The total number is 46 for prefectures, 14 for ordinance-designated cities, 33 for core cities, and 38 for special case cities.)

(5) 評価結果の活用方法

Utilization of the results of assessment

| | 都道府県 | | 政令指定都市 | | 中核市 | | 特例市 | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | Prefectures | | Ordinance-designated cities | | Core cities | | Special case cities | |
| | 団体数 | 構成比 (%) | 団体数 | 構成比 (%) | 団体数 | 構成比 (%) | 団体数 | 構成比 (%) |
| | Number | Component percentage | Number | Component percentage | Number | Component percentage | Number | Component percentage |
| 予算要求や査定 Request and assessment of budget | 45 | 97.8 | 14 | 100.0 | 32 | 97.0 | 35 | 92.1 |
| 直接反映している Directly reflected | 19 | 41.3 | 3 | 21.4 | 10 | 30.3 | 11 | 28.9 |
| 参考資料としている Used for reference | 26 | 56.5 | 11 | 78.6 | 22 | 66.7 | 24 | 63.2 |
| 定員管理要求や査定 Staff management request and assessment | 25 | 54.4 | 7 | 50.0 | 17 | 51.5 | 17 | 44.7 |
| 直接反映している Directly reflected | 4 | 8.7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.0 | 2 | 5.2 |
| 参考資料としている Used for reference | 21 | 45.7 | 7 | 50.0 | 16 | 48.5 | 15 | 39.5 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|
| 次年度重点施策・方針の策定 Development of major measures and directions for the following fiscal year | 33 | 71.7 | 7 | 50.0 | 23 | 69.7 | 20 | 52.6 |
| 直接反映している Directly reflected | 15 | 32.6 | 2 | 14.3 | 5 | 15.2 | 4 | 10.5 |
| 参考資料としている Used for reference | 18 | 39.1 | 5 | 35.7 | 18 | 54.5 | 16 | 42.1 |
| 事務事業の見直し Review of administrative work/projects | 45 | 97.8 | 12 | 85.7 | 32 | 97.0 | 34 | 89.5 |
| 直接反映している Directly reflected | 29 | 63.0 | 7 | 50.0 | 17 | 51.5 | 20 | 52.6 |
| 参考資料としている Used for reference | 16 | 34.8 | 5 | 35.7 | 15 | 45.5 | 14 | 36.9 |
| 総合計画等の進行管理 Progressive management of general plans, etc. | 29 | 63.0 | 8 | 57.1 | 15 | 45.5 | 21 | 55.3 |
| トップの政策の達成を測るツール Tools to measure the achievement of top-level policy | 6 | 13.0 | 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 3.0 | 2 | 5.3 |

※ 構成比は、行政評価を導入、もしくは試行している都道府県、政令指定都市、中核市及び特例市に占める割合である（予定を含む）。
 （都道府県については46団体中、政令指定都市については14団体中、中核市については33団体中、特例市については38団体中）

※ The component percentage is calculated as a ratio to the total number of prefectures, ordinance-designated cities, core cities, and special case cities, respectively, which have already introduced administration assessment or for which administration assessment is under trial (the numbers include local public bodies which intend to utilize the results).
 (The total number is 46 for prefectures, 14 for ordinance-designated cities, 33 for core cities, and 38 for special case cities.)

【事業の仕分け（※1）の実施】

Classification of administrative work / projects

| | 都道府県 Prefectures | | 政令指定都市 Ordinance-designated cities | | 中核市 Core cities | | 特例市 Special case cities | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage |
| 事業の仕分けの実施 Implementation of classification of administrative work/projects | 14 | 31.1 | 1 | 7.1 | 9 | 28.1 | 11 | 32.4 |

- ※1 事業について個々に、そもそも必要な事業か、行政が行うべきか、民間委託が可能ではないか等について検討する手法。
- ※2 構成比は、結果評価を事務事業の見直しに活用している都道府県、政令指定都市、中核市及び特例市に占める割合である（予定を含む）。（都道府県については45団体中、政令指定都市については12団体中、中核市については32団体中、特例市については34団体中）
- ※1 “Classification of administrative work / projects” denotes a system under which each administrative work / project shall be reviewed from such perspectives as whether or not the work or project is necessary in the first place, whether it should be carried out by the administrative sector, and whether it is possible to contract it out to the private sector, etc.
- ※2 The component percentage is calculated as a ratio to the total number of prefectures, ordinance-designated cities, core cities, and special case cities, respectively, which are utilizing the results of assessment for a review of administrative work / projects (the numbers include local public bodies which intend to introduce the classification system of administrative work / projects). (The total number is 45 for prefectures, 12 for ordinance-designated cities, 32 for core cities, and 34 for special case cities.)

(6) 行政評価制度と既存計画等との連携

Linkage between the administration assessment system and administrative plans etc. which have already been formulated

| | 都道府県 Prefectures | | 政令指定都市 Ordinance-designated cities | | 中核市 Core cities | | 特例市 Special case cities | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage | 団体数 Number | 構成比 (%) Component percentage |
| 総合計画と連携 Linkage with general plans | 31 | 67.4 | 9 | 64.3 | 22 | 66.7 | 31 | 81.6 |
| トップが掲げる 政策方針と連携 Linkage with top-level policy measures | 11 | 23.9 | 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 3.0 | 2 | 5.3 |
| 評価対象の事務 事業と予算事務 事業とが整合 Coordination of administrative work/projects that are the subject of assessment and budget-oriented administrative work/projects | 31 | 67.4 | 7 | 50.0 | 23 | 69.7 | 19 | 50.0 |

調査における「行政評価」とは、政策、施策、事務事業について、事前、事中、事後を問わず、一定の基準、指標を持って、妥当性、達成度や成果を判定するものをいう。

また、「政策」とは大局的な見地から地方公共団体が目指すべき方向や目的を示すもの、「施策」とは政策目的を達成するための方策、「事務事業」とは施策目的を達成するための具体的な手段としている。

“Administration assessment” in this survey means a system to gauge the adequacy, the extent of achievement and the outcome of individual policies, administrative measures, or administrative work / projects on the basis of a definite standard or index, regardless of the time when the assessment is carried out, whether in advance of implementation of a policy, concurrently with its implementation, or ex post facto.

The term “policies” here denotes the directions or purposes to be aimed at by local public bodies from a wide point of view; “administrative measures” denotes measures taken by local public bodies to achieve their policy goals; and “administrative work / projects” denotes concrete means for realizing administrative measures.

